

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/621,800	Applicant(s) DEVINE ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher A. Revak	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Phouphanomketh Ditthavong.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2005

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claimed trademark

Claims discussed:

11,15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

AS 3/14/05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution. The examiner indicated that he overlooked the claimed trademark "Java" in claims 11 and 15. Since the examiner found the applicant's arguments to be persuasive, the examiner asked for the applicant's permission to perform an examiner's amendment to remove the trademark from the claim. The applicant's representative gave permission to the examiner..